The Disruption & Evolution of Advising Technology: **Competence Based Decision** Making & Analysis

Dr. John Sauter, (He, Him) Niagara University **Sarah Howard,** (She, Her) The Ohio State University WNY Advising, February 16, 2022

Session Outcomes

- 1. Understand the rapid evolution of technology use in response to the pandemic
- 2. Learn how to strategically apply the Blended Model of Competency & Action for professional development and strategic decision making
- 3. Explore the differences and intersections among technology awareness, knowledge, skills, and reflective practice
- 4. Analyze how advisors can take action to improve their professional competence around technology at the foundational, intermediate, and advanced levels
- 5. Consider the implications of advising technology to advising practices in a post-pandemic world

C. T. States and P.

Pause for Reflection

How would you describe the disruption & evolution of technology in higher education?

- For most advisors on residential campuses, prior to March 2020, advising happened almost 100% in-person, with occasional phone or possibly video appointments
- After March 2020, advising happened almost 100% through technology (phone, video, email, etc)

- Advising in Times of Disruption Google doc allowed advisors/admins to step ahead and, in some cases, lead response on their campus
- Growth of <u>Technology in Advising FB group</u>
- Increase in virtual & hybrid professional development opportunities

- For institutions with campus-wide technology solutions, pandemic forced everyone to adopt, even if they weren't using technology before
- For institutions without campus-wide technology solutions, pandemic forced quick decisions, or left folks making individual decisions

- Highlighted (and often exacerbated) gap in technology competence among staff, at least initially
 - Who is responsible for training or making sure all are "up to speed" on tech tools?
- Did all staff have technology available to work from home effectively?
 - High speed internet
 - Access to web camera, laptop, second monitor?
 - Access to digital files
 - Formerly paper processes

- Technology disruption also tied to student success:
 - Do students have access to internet, computer, other tools?
 - Are students adept at learning independently?
 - How do emergency grading policies impact advising?
 - Graduate school considerations
 - Sequential course performance

Reflection

Given the rapid evolution of technology since the pandemic began... How has it altered your role as an advisor?

I COULD REALLY USE SOME HELP

#SCHITTSCREEK

Quick Decisions vs. Intentional Design?

Innovations

- Creative Solutions
- Virtual Options
- Technology Investment
- Infrastructure Changes
- Communication

Roadblocks

- Context/ Changing Landscape
- Training/ Implementation
- Time & Resources
- Supervision
- Burdens & Inequity

How do we intentionally merge the lessons learned from this rapid evolution of technology into our daily practices?

Blended Model of Competency & Action

(Howard & Sauter, 2017)	Conceptual / Awareness	Informational / Knowledge	Relational / Skills	Action(s) / Reflections & Praxis
Foundational (Baseline)				
Intermediate (Engaged)				
Advanced (Leadership)				

Combines the NACADA Core Competencies Model, the NASPA/ACPA Professional Competencies, and Multicultural competence in Student Affairs with the concept of Praxis.

Student Affairs Competency Stages

ACPA / NASPA

• Foundational

• Intermediate

• Advanced

Foundational Level: Working knowledge & exploration of technology to get the job done.

Intermediate Level: Dynamic engagement, sharing & utilization of technology.

Advanced Level:

Strategic leadership, modeling & systemic anticipation, planning and assessment of technology use

NACADA / Multicultural Competence



(Cunningham & Farr, 2017)

Awareness
Knowledge
Skills

Counseling & Student Affairs Effective & Ethical Practice

(Pope, Mueller, Reynolds, 2019; 2004; Pope, Reynolds, 1997)

NACADA / Multicultural Competence

Conceptional / Awareness

Implications

- Personal Awareness
- Professional
 Awareness
- Multicultural Awareness

Informational / Knowledge

Implications

- Professional Knowledge
- Experiential Knowledge
- Situational Knowledge

Relational / Skills

Implications

- Developing Skills
- Equitable Skill Distribution
- Connecting with Others

How do these differ based on professional level?

Praxis

Combination of "action and reflection upon the world in order to change it."

(hooks, 1994, p. 14).

Praxis - Reflection & Action

How Often Do We:

- Purposefully reflect on our technological competence and practices as advisors?
- Effectively take reflective & intentional action?

Implications

- Reactive vs. Reflective
- Willingness to Take Action
- Sphere of Influence

Decision Making & Strategic Change

Utilize the Blended Model of Competency & Action to Analyse your Challenges

- Levels of Professional Practice
- Competence Based Approach
- Actions

Consider the Intention & Impact of Decisions

- Intentional Design
- Praxis

Blended Model of Competency & Action

(Sauter, Howard, 2017)

	Conceptual / Awareness	Informational / Knowledge	Relational / Skills	Action(s) / Reflections & Praxis
Foundational (Baseline)				
Intermediate (Engaged)				
Advanced (Leadership)				

Combines the NACADA Core Competencies Model, the NASPA/ACPA Professional Competencies, and Multicultural competence in Student Affairs with the concept of Praxis.

Breakout Room Advising Challenge

Choose a Professional Level (3 rooms each)

• Foundational / Intermediate / Advanced (Based on Interest)

Develop Solutions Using the Blended Model

- What Awareness / Knowledge / Skills do you need?
- How have These Changed Due to the Disruption & Evolution of Technology?

Consider the Intention & Impact of Decisions

- How Might Intentional Design Inform your Solution
- Praxis & Potential Impact on Advising

New "Business as Usual"

- How has the work of advising changed?
- What are we keeping as we move forward?
- How can you incorporate more systemic training on technology topics & tools for yourself or your team?



Reflection & Discussion

How might this model inform your decision making and actions post-Covid?

Questions & Comments



Dr. John Sauter jps@niagara.edu @JohnPSauter Niagara University

Handout: https://bit.ly/disruptadvtech21H Slides: https://bit.ly/disruptadvtech22s



Sarah Howard howard.933@osu.edu @HowardSJ Ohio State University

Reference List

- ACPA, NASPA, (2015), Professional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Educators. Washington D.C., ACPA/NASPA.
- ACPA, NASPA, (2016), Professional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Educators. Washington, DC.: ACPA/NASPA.
- Cunningham, L. & Farr, T., (Eds.). (2017). Academic Advising Core Competencies Guide. NACADA. In Folsom, P., Yoder, F., & Joslin, J. The New Advisor Guidebook: Mastering the Art of Academic Advising. San Francisco, CA, Jossey Bass.
- hooks, b. (1994) Teaching to transgress: Education as the practice of freedom,
- Martin-Barbero, S. (2020) COVID-19 has accelerated the digital transformation of higher education. <u>https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/07/covid-19-digital-transformation-higher-education/</u>
- Pope R. L. & Reynolds, A. L. 1997. Student affairs core competencies: Integrating multicultural awareness, knowledge, and skills. Journal of College Student Development. 38(3). 266-277.
- Pope, R. L., Reynolds, A. L. & Mueller, J. A. (2004). Multicultural competence in student affairs. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA.
- Pope, R. L., Reynolds, A. L. & Mueller, J. A. (2014). Creating Multicultural Change on Campus. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA.
- Pope, R. L., Reynolds, A. L. & Mueller, J. A. (2019). Multicultural competence in student affairs: Advancing social justice and inclusion, 2nd edition. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA.
- Schaffhauser, D. (2020) 25 Ed Tech Predictions for 2021, Campus Technology, <u>https://campustechnology.com/articles/2021/01/04/25-ed-tech-predictions-for-2021.aspx</u> (print view is easier to read)
- Wiggins, Grant, and McTighe, Jay. (1998). Understanding by Design. ASCD.