United Across Campuses
Regional Conference
March 2, 2011

Danielle Johnson Terri Miklitsch Heather Welser

- Definitions
- Research to Date
- Similarities and Differences
- Best Practices and Discussions
- NACADA (Advising Profession)
- Summary

Definitions

- At-Risk: Students who earned less than a 2.00 university cumulative GPA; these students may also have pre-college indicators and/or lack of academic preparation that predispose them to poor academic performance.
- **High-Achieving:** Students who earned a 3.25 university cumulative GPA; these students may also have the academic preparation and/or cultural capital that will increase the likelihood of attaining academic success.
- High-achieving students can be at-risk and at-risk students can be high-achieving (not mutually exclusive).

Students Who are Simultaneously At-Risk and High-Achieving

- Many students who are pre-identified as At-Risk students due to certain demographic information (such as being historically under-represented in higher education, first-generation or low-income) may also be High-Achieving students.
- In 1964 the SUNY board of trustees realized that there were academically talented youth (high school students) who were underprepared for college; and so the Educational Opportunity Program was born in New York State. In 1970 the legislator approved funding this program on all state campuses.
- Furthermore, these pre-identified At-Risk students that show themselves to be High-Achieving students by performing well in college; may become eligible to participate in a federally funded TRiO programs such as Student Support Services (SSS), and the McNair Scholars Post-Baccalaureate Achievement Program. (US Department of Education, 2009)

Students Who are Simultaneously High-Achieving and At-Risk

- Many high-achieving students may feel isolated within their college environments, since they may have felt isolated during elementary and secondary school (Hebert & McBee, 2007).
- According to Kerr and Erb (1991), "Multipotentiality can lead to difficulty
 in the development of a sense of purpose; students with many talents
 wonder how they can possibly integrate or prioritize abilities in order to
 make a meaningful contribution to society" (pp. 309).
- At the post-secondary level, high-ability students with learning disabilities often need guidance in understanding their academic strengths and weaknesses, thereby learning to advocate for themselves (Reis, McGuire, & Neu, 2000).
- Since gifted students are traditionally successful academically, they may be ill-equipped to deal with the challenges related to time management, study skills, and mastering course materials (Kem & Navan, 2006).

Research to Date

2005-2006:

- Advisors concerned about students in academic difficulty (Fall 2005)
- <u>S</u>tudents with <u>A</u>cademic <u>D</u>ifficulty (SAD) Committee was formed (Spring 2006)

2006-2007:

- Committee studied Fall 2006 Cohort of students with less than 2.00 GPA
 - 1809 students were analyzed (10% of the Fall 2006 UB undergraduate population)
 - Profile: 63.6% Male; 54.4% White; 86.6% U.S. Citizens; 57.8% 17 18
 Years Old
- Reviewed existing probation and dismissal policies and procedures

2007-2008

- Collected data on academic review
- Identified "Best Practices"
- Designed survey on academic performance and behaviors

2008-2009

- Submitted preliminary recommendations to Assistant Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education
 - Changes to Current Definitions
 - Fall and Spring Review
 - Increased Communication re: Academic Status
 - Mandatory Advisement ("A" Checkstop)
 - Mandatory Workshops
 - Academic Support Services
 - Contract Enrollment
 - Advising Dismissed Students
- Surveyed Undergraduates on Academic Performance

2008-2009 (continued in 2009-2010)

- Committee studied Spring 2009 Cohort of all Students
 - 454 Students Were Analyzed
 - Profile: 52.9% Male; 66.7% White; 94.5% GPA 2.00 or higher
- GPA is significantly and positively correlated with
 - Completing homework assignments
 - Reviewing class notes
 - Knowing where to get academic help
 - Feeling they would succeed when experiencing academic difficulty
 - Valuing coursework as more important than involvement in extracurricular activities
 - Feeling able to keep pace in courses
 - Feeling able to understand course materials
- GPA is significantly and negatively correlated with
 - Valuing involvement in extracurricular activities as more important than coursework
 - Working for pay OFF campus
- Additional data synthesized/analyzed by Research Team

2010-2011

- Research Team continues research of at-risk students
- Mixed-method study focuses on academic performance and academic behaviors
- Survey (quantitative) sent to 1,500+ UB undergraduate students who received academic warning or probation notices from Provost Office
- Focus groups (qualitative) will be conducted late spring/summer

Similarities and Differences

Similarities

- Need access to academic services
- Academic goal (college degree) and held to the same college standards
- Study skills underdeveloped (Schwartz, 2010)
- Identity development
- Other psychosocial issues (low orientation to adult responsibilities, diffusion problems, financial and personal issues, residence life/commuter issues, lack of academic focus, and so forth)

Similarities and Differences

Differences: At-Risk

- Face different learning challenges (e.g., difficulty with study skills and strategies)
- Academic self-concept and motivation
- Academic performance less engaged with course content within/outside of the classroom
- Compromised ability to devise and implement creative problem solving solutions

Similarities and Differences

Differences: High-Achieving

- Facing different learning challenges (e.g., multitalented with multiple goals - may want to "do it all")
- Psychosocial issues (perfectionism, social isolation, and so forth)
- High schools have told this Millennial group that they are special/privileged and colleges have reinforced this notion (special housing, access to faculty, preferred registration, and so forth)
- Academic goals do not always match performance outcomes

Best Practices

At-Risk Students:

- Mandated advisement (e.g. academic interventions and strategies, referrals to programs/services, increased advisor contact, use of Checkstops/service indicators, etc.)
- End-of-semester and mid-semester reviews (e.g., discussions on academic capacity and ability within majors/university, academic performance, etc.)
- Learning contracts (specific action steps and accountability)
- Workshops (e.g., time management, study skills, academic success, etc.)
- Social media (e.g., academic support groups)
- Assessments (i.e., LASSI)

Best Practices

High-Achieving Students:

- Mandated advisement (e.g., progression on academic plan, explore research and community service/engagement opportunities, explore graduate school preparation, explore COOPs/internships, etc.)
- End-of-semester review (e.g., reflect on academic goals and performance, scholarship eligibility, etc.)
- Workshops (e.g., fellowships and assistantships, specialized fields, etc.)

NACADA

- "The primary purpose of Academic Advising Programs (AAP) is to assist students in the development of meaningful educational plans, "
 (NACADA, CAS Standards).
- Theoretical bases (i.e., advising curriculum, pedagogy, and student learning outcomes)
- Professional responsibilities and core values
- Specialized competencies (e.g., learning, cultural, communication, assessment, etc.)
- Transferrable awareness, knowledge, and skills (e.g., listening, empathy, legal, management, etc.)
- High-achieving and at-risk students/population require specialized knowledge to help them develop appropriate and meaningful educational plans

Summary

- Next Steps
 - Continue multi-year research
 - Expand to other colleges/universities (invitation to join research efforts on at-risk students)
- Questions, Comments and Observations